



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| Γ | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| _ | 10/034,654 | 12/26/2001 | Scott R. Swix | BELL-0163/01330 | 1792 |
| | 38952 75 | 590 07/21/2004 | | EXAMI | NER |
| | | WASHBURN LLP | | BUI, KIEU OANH T | |
| PHILADELPHIA, 1 | | PLACE - 46TH FLOOR IA. PA 19103 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | , | | 2611 | 1.0 |
| | • | | | DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004 | 18 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| 10/034,654 | SWIX ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| KIEU-OANH T BUI | 2611 |

| Interview Summary | · | | | | |
|---|--|----------|--|--|--|
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | KIEU-OANH T BUI | 2611 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) KIEU-OANH T BUI. | (3) | | | | |
| (2) <u>KENNETH R. EIFERMAN</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 19 July 2004. | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | ²)☐ applicant's representative | ·] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>claim 13</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hendricks et al.</u> . | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative discussed on claim 13 and the prior art (hendricks et al.)</u> and will consider the claim language in the next amendment. | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | £.L | | | | |
| | a de la companya della companya dell | UNIVV | | | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

KRISTA BUI PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required